

Published on Web 08/12/2010

Formation, Structure, and EPR Detection of a High Spin Fe^{IV}—Oxo Species Derived from Either an Fe^{III}—Oxo or Fe^{III}—OH Complex

David C. Lacy,[†] Rupal Gupta,[‡] Kari L. Stone,^{†,§} John Greaves,[†] Joseph W. Ziller,[†]

Michael P. Hendrich,*,[‡] and A. S. Borovik*,[†]

Departments of Chemistry, University of California-Irvine, 1102 Natural Sciences II, Irvine, California 92697-2025, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, and Benedictine University, Lisle, Illinois 60532 Received June 1, 2010; E-mail: aborovik@uci.edu; hendrich@andrew.cmu.edu

Abstract: High spin oxoiron(IV) complexes have been proposed to be a key intermediate in numerous nonheme metalloenzymes. The successful detection of similar complexes has been reported for only two synthetic systems. A new synthetic high spin oxoiron(IV) complex is now reported that can be prepared from a wellcharacterized oxoiron(III) species. This new oxoiron(IV) complex can also be prepared from a hydroxoiron(III) species via a proton-coupled electron transfer process-a first in synthetic chemistry. The oxoiron(IV) complex has been characterized with a variety of spectroscopic methods: FTIR studies showed a feature associated with the Fe–O bond at ν (Fe¹⁶O) = 798 cm⁻¹ that shifted to 765 cm⁻¹ in the ¹⁸O complex; Mössbauer experiments show a signal with an $\delta = 0.02$ mm/s and $|\Delta E_Q| = 0.43$ mm/s, electronic parameters consistent with an Fe(IV) center, and optical spectra had visible bands at $\lambda_{max} = 440$ ($\epsilon_{M} = 3100$), 550 ($\epsilon_{M} = 1900$), and 808 $(\varepsilon_{\rm M} = 280)$ nm. In addition, the oxoiron(IV) complex gave the first observable EPR features in the parallel-mode EPR spectrum with g-values at 8.19 and 4.06. A simulation for an S = 2 species with D = 4.0(5) cm⁻¹, E/D = 0.03, $\sigma_{E/D}$ = 0.014, and g_z = 2.04 generates a fit that accurately predicted the intensity, line shape, and position of the observed signals. These results showed that EPR spectroscopy can be a useful method for determining the properties of high spin oxoiron(IV) complexes. The oxoiron(IV) complex was crystallized at -35 °C, and its structure was determined by X-ray diffraction methods. The complex has a trigonal bipyramidal coordination geometry with the Fe-O unit positioned within a hydrogen bonding cavity. The Fe^{IV}-O unit bond length is 1.680(1) Å, which is the longest distance yet reported for a monomeric oxoiron(IV) complex.

Identification of intermediates in chemical catalysis is of fundamental interest in chemistry. This is exemplified in metalloenzymes that bind and activate dioxygen, in which mechanistic details are often surmised from spectroscopic measurements.¹ Characterization of key intermediates, such as Fe^{IV}-O(H) species, has long been sought; recent findings on nonheme monooxygenases, halogenases, and synthetic systems showed that Fe^{IV}-oxo complexes have detectable optical and vibrational properties.² In this report, we demonstrate that oxidation of monomeric hydroxo- and oxoiron(III) complexes produce the same high-spin Fe^{IV}-oxo species. In addition, our results illustrate for the first time that EPR spectroscopy can be used to detect high-spin ferryl oxo species.^{3,4}

Dioxygen activation by metal complexes is often proposed to proceed through monomeric MIV-oxo intermediates. With but a few exceptions, the ferryl intermediates in nonheme iron enzymes have eluded spectroscopic characterization. Of those characterized, the oxoiron(IV) species have all been high spin with an S = 2 spin ground state, whose primary coordination geometries are still uncertain.^{2h} The vast majority of synthetic oxoiron(IV) complexes are prepared with Scheme 1. Preparative Routes to the Fe^{IV}—Oxo Complex



oxidants other than dioxygen and have coordination geometries that support an intermediate spin state of $S = 1.^{2e-g}$ Two examples of synthetic high-spin oxoiron(IV) species have been described: one from Bakac using $\left[Fe^{II}(H_2O)_6\right]^{2+}$ and ozone in water 5a and the other from Que using [Fe^{II}(TMG₃tren)(OTf)]⁺ and iodosylbenzene.^{5b,6}

Our approach to high valent metal oxo chemistry utilizes tetradentate tripodal ligands with anionic nitrogen donors. We aimed to stabilize intermediates by incorporating intramolecular hydrogen bonds (H-bonds) between a coordinated exogenous ligand(s) and H-bond donors on the tripod.⁷ For instance, utilizing the ureacontaining ligand, $[H_3buea]^{3-,6}$ we isolated the Fe^{III}—O(H) complexes [Fe^{III}H₃buea(OH)]⁻ and [Fe^{III}H₃buea(O)]²⁻ whose oxygen ligands are derived from the deprotonation of H₂O or O₂ activation.^{7a,b}



We reported previously that the oxomanganese(IV) complex, [Mn^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻, was prepared by the one-electron oxidation of a terminal Mn^{III}—O complex, [Mn^{III}H₃buea(O)]^{2-.8} This finding led us to reevaluate the redox properties of $[Fe^{II}H_3buea(O)]^{2-}$, and we subsequently found that the $Fe^{III/IV}$ couple was significantly more negative (-0.90 V vs [FeCp₂]^{+/0}, Figure S1A) than reported earlier (0.34 V).⁹ These new data prompted us to investigate the oxidation chemistry of [Fe^{III}H₃buea(O)]²⁻, and we found that an oxoiron(IV) species could be generated (Scheme 1).¹⁰ Spectroscopic studies showed that the high-spin complex, $[Fe^{IV}H_3buea(O)]^-$, was produced (see below).

During the course of our studies, we found that solutions containing less than 1 mM of the Fe^{III}-O complex were consistently converted to [Fe^{III}H₃buea(OH)]⁻, a result attributed to adventitious water and the high basicity of the oxo ligand in $[Fe^{III}H_3buea(O)]^{2-}$ $(pK_a \approx 25$ in DMSO).9 This observation led to the discovery that treating [Fe^{III}H₃buea(OH)]⁻ in DMF at -60 °C with 1 equiv of [FeCp₂]⁺ also produced [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻ (Scheme 1).¹¹ Thus the same oxoiron(IV) species was obtained from the independent oxidation of either a monomeric $Fe^{III} - OH$ or $Fe^{III} - O$ complex. The formation of [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻ was followed optically and is shown in Figure 1 for the oxidation of [Fe^{III}H₃buea(OH)]⁻. The strong absorption band of $[Fe^{III}H_3buea(OH)]^-$ at $\lambda_{max} = 400 \text{ nm}$ ($\varepsilon_M = 5000$) disappears with new features appearing at $\lambda_{max} = 350$ ($\varepsilon_M = 4200$), 440 ($\varepsilon_M = 3100$), 550 ($\varepsilon_{\rm M}$ = 1900), and 808 ($\varepsilon_{\rm M}$ = 280) nm. The absorption band at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 808 \text{ nm is suggestive of an oxoiron(IV) species}^{2e, f, g}$ and is not

[†] University of California-Irvine.

[‡] Carnegie Mellon University. [§] Benedictine University.



Figure 1. Oxidation of $[Fe^{III}H_3buea(OH)]^-$ (0.20 mM) with $[FeCp_2]^+$ monitored spectrophotometrically at -60 °C in DMF. The red spectrum was taken immediately after addition of $[Cp_2Fe]^+$, and subsequent spectra were recorded every minute for 12 min.¹² The inset shows spectra (600–1100 nm) for the products from the oxidation of $[Fe^{III}H_3buea(OH)]^-$ (–) and $[Fe^{III}H_3buea(O)]^{2-}$ (–) (concentration, 2 mM).



Figure 2. FTIR spectra of $[Fe^{IV}H_3buea(^{16}O)]^-$ (···) and $[Fe^{IV}H_3buea(^{18}O)]^-$ (–) measured at room temperature. (A) Solid-state samples (Nujol) prepared from the oxidation of $[Fe^{II}H_3buea(^{16/18}OH)]^-$ and (B) solution samples (DMF) prepared from the oxidation of $[Fe^{III}H_3buea(^{16/18}OH)]^-$.

present in any other iron complexes that we have prepared. Moreover, the same optical band was found when [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻ was prepared from the oxidation of [Fe^{III}H₃buea(O)]²⁻ (Figure 1, inset). The bands between 300 and 600 nm are still under investigation but most likely arise from charge-transfer transitions. In comparison to other oxoiron(IV) species, these higher energy features are unique to [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻: for instance, [Fe^{IV}(TMG₃tren)(O)]²⁺ has a lone peak at 400 nm ($\varepsilon_{\rm M} = 9800 \ {\rm M}^{-1} \ {\rm cm}^{-1}$) and the Fe^{IV}—oxo intermediate in taurine D dioxygenase has a single band at 318 nm.^{2a}

The isolation of [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻ was initially achieved by treating $[Fe^{III}H_3buea(OH)]^-$ in acetonitrile at room temperature with $[FeCp_2]^+$ which caused the immediate precipitation of a brown solid. Redissolving the solid in DMSO afforded an optical spectrum nearly identical to that produced in Figure 1. The presence of the oxo ligand in [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻ was confirmed with isotopic labeling studies. The solid-state FTIR spectrum of the isolated product from the oxidation of [Fe^{III}H₃buea(¹⁶OH)]⁻ exhibited a new peak at 799 cm⁻¹ that shifted to 768 $\rm cm^{-1}$ (sh) in the $^{18}\text{O}\text{-isotopomer}$ (Figure 2A). Solution FTIR spectra (DMF) of [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻, prepared from the oxidation of $[Fe^{III}H_3buea(O)]^-$, gave values for $\nu(Fe^{-16}O)$ and $\nu(Fe^{-18}O)$ of 798 and 765 cm⁻¹ (Figure 2B). The observed shifts are expected based on a harmonic Fe-O oscillator model, which predicted a difference of 34 cm⁻¹ between the ¹⁶O- and ¹⁸O-isotopomers. Note also that the frequency for the Fe-oxo bond measured for [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(¹⁶O)]⁻ is in good agreement to what Green predicted using Badger's rule constructed for complexes with oxo-iron bonds.¹³ Furthermore, the negative-mode ESI-MS spectrum of [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(¹⁶O)]⁻ contained a strong ion peak at m/z = 512.2634 that shifted 2 mass units in the ¹⁸O-isotopomer (Figure S2).¹⁴ These data and the calculated isotopic distribution support the formulation of a monomeric oxoiron(IV) species.



Figure 3. (A) X-band EPR spectrum of 20 mM $[Fe^{IV}H_3buea(O)]^-$ in DMF (-, black) and simulation (- -, red); experimental conditions: B₁ || B; temperature, 10 K; microwaves, 2 mW at 9.28 GHz. The signals at g = 4.3 and 1.99 are impurities, observed due to B₁ || B misalignment. Inset: energy levels of the S = 2 manifold as a function of magnetic field. (B) Mössbauer spectrum of $[Fe^{IV}H_3buea(O)]^-$ in Nujol at 4.2 K. The solid line is a least-squares fit of the experimental data.

Parallel-mode X-band EPR spectra of the Fe^{IV}-oxo complex showed a sharp resonance at g = 8.19 and a broad valley at g = 4.06(Figure 3A).¹⁵ The g = 8.19 signal is indicative of a transition from the $|2^{\pm}\rangle$ doublet of an S = 2 spin manifold (inset of Figure 3A).¹⁰ Temperature-dependent EPR studies showed that this is an excited state signal originating from a positive value of the zero-field splitting. A simulation for an S = 2 species with $D = 4.0(5) \text{ cm}^{-1}$, E/D = 0.03, $\sigma_{E/D} = 0.014$ ¹⁶ and $g_z = 2.040(5)$ generates a fit that accurately predicted the intensity, line shape, and position of the observed signals. The simulation also predicted the broad feature at g = 4.06, arising from the lower $|1^{\pm}\rangle$ doublet of the same S = 2 manifold. Our analysis of the EPR data further showed a spin concentration of 20 mM that was in good agreement with the starting concentration of the Fe(III) precursor (~25 mM). The Mössbauer spectrum of the complex (powder or in DMF) showed a doublet with $\delta = 0.02$ mm/s and $|\Delta E_0|$ = 0.43 mm/s (Figure 3B).¹⁷ The near zero isomer shift is indicative of an Fe(IV) oxidation state. EPR and Mössbauer spectra of [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻ prepared from [Fe^{III}H₃buea(OH)]⁻ showed the same species. Thus, the Mössbauer and EPR data together indicate a highspin (S = 2) iron(IV) assignment for the oxidation product of $[Fe^{III}H_3buea(O)]^{2-}$ or $[Fe^{III}H_3buea(OH)]^{-}$.

The electronic parameters (D, E/D) of $[Fe^{IV}H_3buea(O)]^-$ are comparable to those of other S = 2 oxoiron(IV) species (Table S1).^{2a,5} All the complexes have nearly axial symmetry (i.e., small E/D), and since the g = 8 signal intensity is proportional to $(E/D)^4$, weak EPR signal intensities are predicted.¹⁰ It is unclear why only $[Fe^{IV}H_3buea(O)]^-$ has produced observable EPR signals. Such signals should be detectable for oxoiron(IV) species with less symmetric ligand fields,³ such as those often found within protein active sites. Interestingly, the shift of the g_z -value from 2.00 (spin only) to 2.040(5) is significant, suggesting dominant orbital angular momentum contributions from a ligand (possibly an oxygen atom) rather than metal-based orbitals, which would cause negative g-shifts.³

The oxoiron(IV) complex is sufficiently stable at -35 °C to allow formation of single crystals that were suitable for analysis by X-ray diffraction methods.^{10,18} The molecular structure of [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻ has the expected trigonal bipyramidal coordination geometry with the terminal oxo ligand (O1) positioned trans to the apical N1 atom (Figure 4). The Fe1-O1 bond length is 1.680(1) Å, and the O1-Fe1-N1 angle is 179.50(4)°. The value for the Fe–O bond distance is slightly (but statistically significant) longer than values found for other synthetic nonheme oxoiron(IV) complexes.^{2e,5c,19} For example, the recently reported molecular structure of [Fe^{IV}(TMG₃tren)(O)]²⁺ has an Fe-O bond distance of 1.661(2) Å.5c Moreover, the Fe-N bond lengths between these two high spin oxoiron(IV) complexes differ significantly. In [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻, the Fe1–N1 and average Fe–N_{eq} bond lengths are 2.057(1) Å and 1.983(1) Å, whereas, in [Fe^{IV}(TMG3tren)(O)]²⁺, these bond distances are 2.112(3) and 2.005 Å, respectively. These differences could reflect the highly anionic character of the [H₃buea]³⁻



Figure 4. Thermal ellipsoid diagram of [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻. The ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level, and nonurea hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angle (deg): Fe1-O1, 1.680(1); Fe1-N1, 2.064(1); Fe1-N2, 1.988(1), Fe1-N3, 1.997(1); Fe1-N4, 1.981(1); O1-Fe1-N1, 179.50(4); N2-Fe1-N3, 116.46(4); N2-Fe1-N4, 122.50(4), N3-Fe1-N4, 115.89(4).



Figure 5. Comparison of key metrical parameters between [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻ and [Fe^{III}H₃buea(O)]²⁻. Key: d[Fe-N_{eq}] is displacement of the iron centers from the plane formed by N2, N3, and N4; d[O-NH] is the displacement of oxo ligand (O1) from the plane formed by N5, N6, and N7 (see Figure 4 for atom labels).

ligand and the H-bonding network that surrounds the Fe^{IV}-O unit, both of which are absent in other systems.

The characterization of [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻ allowed us to compare for the first time the structural properties of two monomeric oxoiron complexes that differ by only one electron. We have previously described the molecular structure of [Fe^{III}H₃buea(O)]²⁻, which also has trigonal bipyramidal coordination geometry with the oxo ligand nestled within a H-bonding cavity created by the [H₃buea]³⁻ ligand.^{7a} There are significant bond length contractions upon oxidation of the Fe^{III}—oxo complex to the oxoiron(IV) species (Figure 5): the Fe-O and Fe-N1 bond lengths decrease by 0.133 and 0.212 Å, and the change in the average Fe– N_{eq} bond distances is –0.076 Å. In fact, the Fe^{IV}-O unit is situated closer to the equatorial plane as indicated by the comparatively small displacement of the iron center from the plane (0.262 Å). In addition, the oxo ligand is further removed (by 0.206 Å) from the plane formed by urea nitrogen atoms, N5, N6, and N7. This displacement of the oxo ligand in [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻ caused a decrease in the N-H-O angles to approximately 150°, possibly leading to diminished H-bonding interactions as predicted by DFT theory.²⁰ Further support for this premise comes from FTIR studies that showed the bands associated with the NH groups in [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻ are substantially sharper and at higher energy than those found in $[Fe^{III}H_3buea(O)]^{2-}$ (Figure S3).

At room temperature [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻ converts to [Fe^{III}H₃buea(OH)]⁻ (Figure S4) with a half-life of 2.2 h,¹⁰ a process we suggest was caused by the homolytic cleavage of a C–H bond from DMF.²¹ This process occurred as well when [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻ was treated with diphenylhydrazine, forming azobenzene in nearly quantitative yield.

The formation of a monomeric oxoiron(IV) complex via the protoncoupled oxidation of an Fe^{III}-OH species has never been observed and is ascribed to the increased acidity of the hydroxo ligand upon formation of an Fe^{IV} center.²² The loss of a proton from the complex is likely facilitated by the H-bonding network surrounding the iron center. Our results with Fe^{III}-OH species are reminiscent of those found in ruthenium chemistry, in which the oxidation of Ru^{III}-OH complexes produced Ru^{IV}-oxo species in aqueous media.²³ Together with our findings it suggests that Fe^{IV}—oxo complexes may be more stable than their hydroxo analogs in certain nonheme systems, hindering the detection of Fe^{IV}-OH species. Finally, the observed EPR signals for a high-spin ferryl oxo species provide an additional quantitative means for probing mechanisms in other nonheme systems.

Acknowledgment. Acknowledgment is made to the NIH (GM050781 to A.S.B.; GM77387 to M.P.H.) for financial support. We thank R. Zarkesh for collecting the X-ray data.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details for all chemical reactions and figures for all spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- Solomon, E. I.; Brunold, T. C.; Davis, M. I.; Kemsley, J. N.; Lee, S.-K.; Lehnert, N.; Neese, F.; Skulan, A. J.; Yang, Y.-S.; Zhou, J. *Chem. Rev* 2000, 100, 235–349, and references therein.
- (a) Price, J. C.; Barr, E. W.; Tirupati, B.; Bollinger, J. M., Jr.; Krebs, C. Biochemistry 2003, 42, 7497–7508. (b) Hausinger, R. P. Crit. Rev. Biochem. (2)*Mol. Biol.* **2004**, *42*, 1–68. (c) Krebs, C.; Fujimori, D. G.; Walsh, C. T.; Bollinger, J. M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40*, 484–492. and references therein. (d) Vaillancourt, F. H.; Yeh, E.; Vosburg, D. A.; Garneau-Tsodikova, S.; Walsh, (d) Vaniancourt, F. H.; Fen, E.; Vosourg, D. A.; Garnead-Tsourkova, S.; watsi, C. T. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 3364–3378. (e) Rohde, J.-W.; In, J.-H.; Brennessel, W. W.; Bukowski, M. R.; Stubna, A.; Münck, E.; Nam, W.; Que, L., Jr. *Science* **2003**, *299*, 1037–1039. (f) Nam, W. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40*, 522–531. (g) Que, L., Jr. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40*, 493–500. (h) Sinnecker, S.; Svensen, N.; Barr, E. W.; Ye, S.; Bollinger, J. M., Jr.; Neese, F.; Krebs, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2007**, *129*, 6168–6179.
- (3)Kostka, K. L.; Fox, B. F.; Hendrich, M. P.; Collins, T. J.; Rickard, C. E. F.; Wright, L. J.; Münck, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 6746-6757
- (4) Krzystek, J.; England, J.; Ray, K.; Ozarowski, A.; Smirnov, D.; Que, L., Jr.; Telser, J. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 3483-3485.
- (5) (a) Pestovsky, O.; Stoian, S.; Bominaar, E. L.; Shan, X.; Münck, E.; Que, L., Jr.; Bakac, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6871-6874. (b) England, L. J., Datad., A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 44, 061-0614. (D) Lagland, J.; Martinho, M.; Farquhar, E. R.; Frisch, J. R.; Bominaar, E. L.; Münck, E.; Que, L., Jr. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 3622–3626. (c) England, J.; Guo, Y.; Farquhar, E. R.; Young, V. G., Jr.; Münck, E.; Que, L., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 8635–8644.
- (6) Abbreviations: TMG₃tren, tris(tetramethylguanidino)tren; [H₃buea]³⁻, tris(tertbutylureaylethylene)aminato.
- (a) MacBeth, C. E.; Golombek, A. P.; Young, V. G., Jr.; Yang, C.; Kuczera, K.; Hendrich, M. P.; Borovik, A. S. *Science* **2000**, *289*, 938–941. (b) Borovik, A. S. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2005**, *38*, 54–61. (c) Shook, R. L.; Borovik, A. S. Chem. Commun. 2008, 6095–6107. (d) Shook, R. L.; Borovik, A. S. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 3646–3660, and references therein.
- (8) Parsell, T. H.; Yang, M.-Y.; Borovik, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 2762-2763
- (9) Gupta, R.; Borovik, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13234-13242.
- (10) See supporting information for experimental details.
- (11) A nearly irreversible one-electron oxidative process was found for $[Fe^{II}H_3buea(OH)]^-$ at a potential of -0.033 V vs $[Cp_2Fe]^{+/0}$ (Figure S1B).
- (12) The small peak in the initial spectrum (red) at $\lambda_{max} \approx 600$ nm is from [Cp₂Fe]⁺ (Figure S7)
- (13) Green, M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1902-1906.
- (14) ¹⁶O-Isotopomer calcd: 512.2648. ¹⁸O-Isotopomer: found, 514.2676; calcd: 514.2691.
- (15) The perpendicular-mode spectrum of the sample used for Figure 3A had signals from $[Fe^{III}H_3buea(OH)]^-$ (g = 9.3) and adventitious iron (g = 4.3) that each accounted for 0.5 mM Fe; a residual amount (0.01 mM) of $[FeCp_2]^+$ (g = 2) was also present (Figure S5)
- (16) $\sigma_{E/D}$ is one standard deviation in the mean value for E/D, which dominates the broadening of EPR signals.
- Mössbauer measurements of multiple samples gave yields of [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)]⁻ between 50% and 90% based on the amount of Fe^{II}Cp₂ present. (17)
- (18) Single crystals were grown from a DMF/ether solution of K[Fe^{IV}H₃buea(O)] at-35°C in the dark. Crystal growth took months.
- (19) Klinker, E. J.; Kaizer, J.; Brennessel, W. W.; Woodrum, N. L.; Cramer, C. J.; Que, L., Jr. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 3690-3694
- (20) Dey, A.; Hocking, R. K.; Larsen, P. L.; Borovik, A. S.; Hedman, B.; Hodgson, K. O.; Solomon, E. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9825-9833.
- (21) $BDE_{C-H}(DMF) = 82$ kcal/mol; Luo, Y.-R. Comprehensive Handbook of Chemical Bond Energies; CRC Press: Taylor and Francis Group: New York, 2007; p 119. (22) A $pK_a \approx 11$ is estimated for a putative [Fe^{IV}H₃buea(OH)].
- (a) Moyer, B. A.; Meyer, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 3601-3603. (23)(b) Moyer, B. A.; Meyer, T. J. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 436-444.
- JA1047818